

Disarmament & International Security Committee (DISEC)

Topic: Addressing the ethical and security implications of lethal autonomous weapons in modern warfare

> Chairs: Perla Jasso López Paulo Antonio López Sánchez Alan Lemus Mejía





Introduction:

The Disarmament and International Security Committee (DISEC) is one of the six central committees of the United Nations General Assembly, primarily focusing on disarmament, global security, and the prevention of armed conflict. DISEC plays a crucial role in addressing challenges related to the proliferation of weapons, arms control, and promoting international peace and stability.

DISEC's mandate revolves around formulating and recommending measures for regulating armaments and disarmament, considering the impact of armaments on international peace and security. The committee seeks to facilitate diplomatic dialogue among member states to address emerging threats, prevent conflicts, and build consensus on disarmament initiatives.

As a platform for diplomatic negotiations, DISEC allows member states to express their concerns, propose solutions, and collaboratively work towards global disarmament goals. The committee's work extends to conventional weapons, nuclear disarmament, and measures to prevent the illicit trade of arms, with a broader focus on fostering a secure and stable international environment.

Over the years, DISEC has addressed a wide range of issues, from controlling weapons of mass destruction to regulating conventional arms. The committee serves as a critical forum for fostering international cooperation, promoting transparency in military matters, and advancing disarmament initiatives that contribute to the overall goals of the United Nations in maintaining global peace and security.

 As member states grapple with evolving geopolitical challenges, DISEC remains at the forefront of diplomatic efforts to find common ground and build frameworks that reduce the risk of armed conflict, protect human security, and promote a world free from the devastating impacts of uncontrolled arms proliferation.





Background:

The emergence of lethal autonomous weapons systems (LAWS) has sparked significant ethical and security concerns in modern warfare. *Lethal autonomous weapons* are systems that independently identify, target, and engage adversaries without direct human intervention. These systems often incorporate artificial intelligence, machine learning, and advanced sensor technologies, presenting a paradigm shift like warfare.

Ethical Implications:

The development and deployment of lethal autonomous weapons raise profound ethical questions that challenge traditional norms of warfare. One primary concern revolves around accountability and responsibility. Unlike conventional weapons operated by humans, LAWS operate with a degree of autonomy, leading to difficulties in assigning responsibility for actions that result in harm or civilian casualties. The lack of direct human involvement in decision-making also raises questions about the moral and legal implications of using machines to make life-and-death choices.

Additionally, the potential for LAWS to exceed human limitations in speed and processing information introduces ethical challenges related to proportionality and discrimination in armed conflicts. The risk of unintended consequences, civilian harm, and the potential for these systems to operate beyond the scope of international humanitarian law contribute to the ethical complexity surrounding the use of lethal autonomous weapons.

Security Implications:

From a security perspective, deploying lethal autonomous weapons introduces uncertainties that may impact strategic stability. Concerns include the potential for arms races among nations to develop increasingly sophisticated and autonomous systems, escalating conflict dynamics. The fast-paced decision-making capabilities of LAWS may also decrease the threshold for the use of force, potentially triggering conflicts based on rapid, automated responses.

Furthermore, the susceptibility of autonomous systems to cyberattacks poses a security risk, as adversaries could exploit vulnerabilities in the technology to





manipulate or redirect these weapons. The potential for non-state actors to acquire and deploy such systems further complicates global security dynamics, necessitating comprehensive international efforts to regulate and manage the development and use of lethal autonomous weapons.

 In navigating these ethical and security challenges, the international community must establish norms, regulations, and frameworks that ensure the responsible development and deployment of lethal autonomous weapons, striking a delicate balance between technological advancements and ethical considerations in modern warfare.

Historical Context:

Lethal autonomous weapons have been the subject of discussion, controversy, and technology innovation over time, from emerging concepts in the twentieth century to important breakthroughs in the 2010s. The inception of the Campaign to Stop Killer Robots in 2015 and the UN negotiations on autonomous weapons in 2018 underscore the evolving landscape, emphasizing the continuous need for an international approach to address the difficulties posed by these weapons.

This historical context highlights the ongoing dialogue and efforts to shape ethical and security frameworks in response to the rapid advancement of lethal autonomous weapons technology.

Key terms:

. Autonomous Weapons:

- **Definition:** Systems capable of identifying, targeting, and engaging adversaries without direct human intervention.
- Attributes: Utilize artificial intelligence, machine learning, and advanced sensors for decision-making about the use of force.

2. Ethical Framework:

• **Definition:** A set of principles guiding the development and use of lethal autonomous weapons, emphasizing moral considerations, human rights, and adherence to international law.





• **Objective:** To ensure ethical oversight, preventing actions that could lead to moral or legal repercussions.

3. International Humanitarian Law (IHL):

- **Definition:** A body of law regulating conduct in armed conflicts, encompassing principles like distinction, proportionality, and the prohibition of unnecessary suffering.
- **Consideration:** Delegates must assess how lethal autonomous weapons align with IHL standards.

4. UN Convention on Certain Conventional Weapons (CCW):

- **Definition:** A framework treaty aiming to prohibit or restrict the use of conventional weapons considered excessively injurious or indiscriminate.
- Role: A key international instrument addressing concerns related to lethal autonomous weapons.

5. Human-in-the-Loop (HITL):

- **Definition:** An approach where a human operator maintains direct involvement in decision-making for autonomous systems.
- **Purpose:** Ensures a level of human control, addressing concerns about ethical oversight.

6. Meaningful Human Control:

- **Definition:** A concept emphasizing the importance of human decision-making in deploying lethal force.
- **Objective:** Define and ensure meaningful human control over autonomous weapons, ensuring accountability.

7. Dual-Use Technology:

- **Definition:** Technological advancements with both civilian and military applications.
- **Relevance:** Delegates should be mindful of the dual-use nature of technologies, especially those related to lethal autonomous weapons.

8. Disarmament Diplomacy:





- **Definition:** The negotiation and development of agreements to limit or reduce weapons use.
- **Role:** Relevant in diplomatic approaches to address concerns and formulate disarmament agreements.

9. Emerging Technologies:

- **Definition:** Technological innovations in the development process with potential societal, economic, or military impacts.
- **Context:** Lethal autonomous weapons are classified as emerging technologies, raising unique ethical challenges.

Guiding questions:

- 1. How have advances in artificial intelligence influenced the evolution of lethal autonomous weapons throughout time?
- 2. How do current international humanitarian laws and agreements deal with the employment of lethal autonomous weapons?
- 3. What ethical frameworks or principles can guide responsible technology development and deployment?
- 4. What are major governments' positions and policies on lethal autonomous weapons?
- 5. What steps should be taken to hold individuals, companies, or nations accountable for autonomous weapon system actions?
- 6. How might international cooperation be used to address the ethical and security consequences of lethal autonomous weapons?
- 7. What precautions may be taken to avoid the unexpected consequences of autonomous systems in conflict zones?





- 8. To what extent should meaningful human control be emphasized in the development and deployment of lethal autonomous weapons, and how can it be ensured?
- 9. How can the international community navigate the challenges posed by dualuse technologies in the context of lethal autonomous weapons and their potential misuse?
- 10. What are the potential impacts of the widespread deployment of lethal autonomous weapons on global security, stability, and geopolitical relationships?
- 11. How can international organizations, such as the United Nations, play a more active and effective role in regulating and addressing the challenges associated with lethal autonomous weapons?
- 12. What role do public perception and awareness play in shaping policies and regulations related to lethal autonomous weapons, and how can public discourse be better incorporated into decision-making processes?

References:

- Patterson, D. (n.d.). *Ethical imperatives for lethal autonomous weapons | Belfer Center for Science and International Affairs*. Belfer Center for Science and International Affairs. https://www.belfercenter.org/publication/ethicalimperatives-lethal-autonomous-weapons
- Christie, E., Ertan, A., Adomaitis, L., & Klaus, M. (2023b). Regulating lethal autonomous weapon systems: exploring the challenges of explainability and traceability. *Al And Ethics*. https://doi.org/10.1007/s43681-023-00261-0
- United Nations. (n.d.). *The role of the United Nations in addressing emerging technologies in the area of lethal autonomous weapons systems | United*





Nations. https://www.un.org/en/un-chronicle/role-united-nations-addressingemerging-technologies-area-lethal-autonomous-weapons

 Horowitz, M. C. (2021, April 14). *The Ethics & Morality of Robotic Warfare: Assessing the Debate over Autonomous Weapons*. American Academy of Arts & Sciences. https://www.amacad.org/publication/ethics-morality-roboticwarfare-assessing-debate-over-autonomous-weapons



